






## Community Council Survey with respect to the Rock Solid Inc. POAN for IBA Facility in Deeside

In summary, the survey has demonstrated that the local community strongly objects to this development proposal. In addition, many are dissatisfied with the lack of progress on the reinstatement of the Park Quarry to agricultural land.

There were 221 responses to the survey overall of which 111 respondents also contributed comments. 24 respondents live outside the AB31 area, the remainder within. The block charts below show the responses to the 5 statements in the survey. The colours chosen for the bars follow a traffic light system, albeit a 5 colour traffic light. Green shows responses that favour the proposed development and pink/red those that do not. The amount of pink/red in the charts compared with the greens show just how strongly local opinion is against this development on all five statements. In this respect little more can be added by further analysis. However, some more insights can be gained from analysis of the comments section of the survey. The points raised in the individual comments have been broken out and categorised and shown in the pie-chart below, 119 in all. The percentages shown are the percentage of points made in each category compared with the total. The first point to notice is that 2 of the categories support the development (shown in greys) and 7 of the 9 categories are essentially reasons for objection (shown in colours). In terms of the total number of points made that amounts to 97% against the development and 3% in support. Points against the development include concerns for environmental impact, unsuitable road infrastructure, incompatibility with how the community, visitors and tourists see the area in terms of its amenity and future development. Many have expressed bewilderment at the idea of such a development in an area of natural beauty such as Deeside. The comments also revealed dissatisfaction that the Park Quarry has not yet been reinstated to agricultural land which the community feels is a 'promise' (planning conditions) now long overdue.





## Nature of Respondants Comments

